

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,654	02/09/2004	Paul D. Sergi	AUT.P0020	. 8798
7590 08/02/2005			EXAMINER	
Edward G. Greive			NGUYEN, HOANG V	
Renner, Kenner	, Greive, Bobak, Taylor &	: Weber		
Fourth Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
First National Tower			2821	
Akron, OH 44	1308-1456		DATE MAILED: 08/02/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/774,654	SERGI, PAUL D.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hoang V. Nguyen	2821	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	uly 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.	·	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	;
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 13-29</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7 and 9-12</u> is/are rejected.		·	,
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are objected to.		·	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	1).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		)	
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	s have been received.		
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>			
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	rity documents have beer	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea		•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	received.	
		•	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	ļ
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ol>	🗂	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6)  Other:	'	

Application Number: 10/774,654 Page 2

Art Unit: 2821

### Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on 13 July 2005 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material "a conductive mast, a conductive block", as recited in the amended claim 1, is not supported by the original disclosure. Thus claim 1 and dependent claims 2-5 and 9-12 will not be examined on the merit.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

2. The amended claim 7 fails to include all of the limitations that were indicated to contain allowable subject matter in the Office action mailed on 14 April 2005. The rejection to the amended claim 7 follows.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rauch (US 2,445,336).

Rauch (Figures 1 and 2) discloses an antenna comprising a mast 28, a generally cylindrical block 14 carried by the mast, the block having a first set of three axially spaced bores 6 therein, and conductive rods 10 received in at least some of the bores. Rauch does not

Art Unit: 2821

explicitly teach that the conductive rods being slidably received in the bores. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to arbitrary select the method to have the rods to slidably receive in the bores as opposed to being threadably received therein since either technique is considered to be equivalent methods of attaching the conductive rods to the block and the selection of any of these known equivalent techniques would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 6 and 13-29 are allowed.
- 6. Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 6, Rauch discloses an antenna comprising a mast, a generally cylindrical block carried by the mast, the block having a plurality of bores therein, the bores being approximately 120 degrees of each other. Rauch, however, fails to further teach that the bores extending chordally through the block and forming opposed apertures in the block.

Regarding claim 8, Rauch fails to further teach a second set of three axially spaced bores, the bores of the second set each being axially spaced from an adjacent bore of the first set of bores.

Regarding claim 13, none of the prior art of record fairly teaches or suggests a method of constructing an antenna having a mast carrying a coil and a plurality of rods comprising the steps

Art Unit: 2821

of identifying a desired frequency of operation for the antenna, selecting the size of the coil and the configuration of the rods which will provide approximately the desired frequency, and constructing the antenna with the selected coil and rod configuration.

Claims 14-23 are allowed for depending on claim 13.

Regarding claim 24, none of the prior art of record fairly teaches or suggests a method of constructing an antenna having a mast carrying a plurality of rods comprising the combination of steps of selecting the number of rods, selecting the length of the rods, and determining the position of the rods relative to the mast.

Claims 25-29 are allowed for depending on claim 24.

#### Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2821

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang V. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1825. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoang Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1825. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hvn 7/29/05

> HOANG V. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER